Week 9 Workshop Sheet

DESN2003: Method Roast 2.0 + Peer Review Lite

Week 9 Workshop Sheet

Course: DESN2003
Use this for: Method Roast 2.0 + Peer Review Lite
Hand in: one group roast verdict and one pair peer review sheet

Section A: Method Roast 2.0

Group members: _____

Assigned case: [ ] A [ ] B [ ] C

In one sentence, what is this student trying to claim?

1. What is the main flaw in the method?

2. What claim can this method NOT support?

3. Is there an ethics, consent, sampling, or access problem?

4. Verdict

[ ] Fatal flaw
[ ] Salvageable with revision

5. What would a defensible revision look like?

6. One-line roast

`This method fails because...`

`A defensible revision would be...`

Section B: Peer Review Lite

Writer name: _____

Reviewer name: _____

Materials received

[ ] Research question
[ ] One strong literature review paragraph
[ ] One uncertain literature review paragraph
[ ] Method paragraph or 3-4 sentence method summary

1. In one sentence, what is this project trying to do?

`Your project is asking...`

_____

_____

2. What is the strongest analytical move so far?

`Your strongest analytical move is...`

_____

_____

3. Which paragraph is still mostly summary, and why?

`This paragraph is still mostly summary because...`

_____

_____

4. Where does the method stop matching the question?

`Your method stops matching the question when...`

_____

_____

5. Two strengths

1. _____
2. _____

6. Two revision priorities

1. _____
2. _____

7. Writer revision memo

`The clearest part of my draft is...`

_____

`The biggest mismatch or weakness is...`

`The first three changes I will make are...`

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

Reminder: no grammar policing first. This round is about argument, synthesis, and method fit.